

# Uniform Convergence of Fourier–Jacobi Series

George Kvernadze

*Department of Mathematics, Weber State University, Ogden, Utah 84408*  
E-mail : gkvernadze@weber.edu

*Communicated by Doron S. Lubinsky*

Received March 23, 2001; accepted in revised form April 1, 2002

Necessary and sufficient conditions which imply the uniform convergence of the Fourier–Jacobi series of a continuous function are obtained under an assumption that the Fourier–Jacobi series is convergent at the end points of the segment of orthogonality  $[-1, 1]$ . The conditions are in terms of the modulus of continuity,  $A$ -variation, and the modulus of variation of a function. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

*Key Words:* Fourier–Jacobi series; uniform convergence; generalized bounded variation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1. Throughout this paper we use the following general notations:  $N$  is the set of positive integers. By  $c$  we denote positive constants, possibly depending on some fixed parameters, and, in general, distinct in different formulas. Sometimes the important arguments of  $c$  will be written explicitly in the expressions for it. For quantities  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ , possibly depending on some other variables as well, we write  $A_n = o(B_n)$ ,  $A_n = O(B_n)$ , or  $A_n \asymp B_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/B_n = 0$ ,  $A_n \leq cB_n$ , or  $c_1B_n \leq A_n \leq c_2B_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , respectively.

$C[a, b]$  is the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  with uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_{[a,b]}$ .  $\omega(f, \delta, [a, b]) = \max\{|f(x) - f(t)| : x, t \in [a, b] \text{ and } |x - t| \leq \delta\}$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f \in C[a, b]$  on  $[a, b]$ .  $\omega(\delta)$  is a given modulus of continuity, i.e., a continuous non-decreasing semiadditive function on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\omega(0) = 0$ .  $H^\omega = \{f : \omega(f, \delta, [a, b]) = O(\omega(\delta)) \text{ for } \delta \geq 0\}$ .

If a function  $g$  is integrable on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $g$  has a Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system  $(1, \cos n\theta, \sin n\theta)_{n=1}^\infty$ , and we denote the  $n$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $g$  by  $S_n(g, \cdot)$ , i.e.,

$$S_n(g, \theta) = \frac{a_0(g)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k(g)\cos k\theta + b_k(g)\sin k\theta),$$

where

$$a_k(g) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\tau) \cos k\tau \, d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad b_k(g) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\tau) \sin k\tau \, d\tau$$

are the  $k$ th Fourier coefficients of the function  $g$ .

The function  $\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is called a Jacobi weight if  $\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ , where  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\beta > -1$ . If  $\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is a Jacobi weight, then by  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}) = (P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  we denote the corresponding system of orthonormal polynomials  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \gamma_n(\alpha, \beta)x^n + \text{lower degree terms}$ ,  $\gamma_n(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{-1}^1 P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \, dt = \delta_{nm}.$$

The system  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)})$  is defined uniquely and called the Jacobi system of orthonormal polynomials.

If  $f \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is an integrable function on  $[-1, 1]$ , then  $f$  has a Fourier series with respect to the system  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)})$ , and by  $S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$  we denote the  $n$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $f$  with respect to the system  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)})$ , i.e.,

$$S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \, dt, \quad (1)$$

where

$$a_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \, dt$$

is the  $k$ th Fourier coefficient of the function  $f$ , and

$$K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \quad (2)$$

is the Dirichlet kernel of the system  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)})$ .

By  $U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  we denote the class of functions, defined on the segment  $[-1, 1]$ , for which the sequence of partial sums of its Fourier series with respect to the system  $\sigma(\rho^{(\alpha, \beta)})$  is uniformly convergent on the whole segment of orthogonality  $[-1, 1]$ , i.e.,  $\|S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, \cdot) - f\|_{[-1, 1]} = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We say that a function  $f$ , defined on the segment  $[-1, 1]$ , belongs to the class  $EP^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , if the sequences  $(S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, \pm 1))_{n=0}^\infty$  are convergent.

**DEFINITION 1.** We say that a function  $f$ , defined on the segment  $[a, b]$ , belongs to  $DL[a, b]$  class, if  $\omega(f, 1/n, [a, b]) \ln n = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**DEFINITION 2 (Waterman [18]).** Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 1/\lambda_k = \infty$ . A function  $f$  is

said to have  $\Lambda$ -bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , i.e.,  $f \in ABV[a, b]$ , if

$$v_{\Lambda}(f, [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f(x_{2k}) - f(x_{2k-1})|}{\lambda_k} < \infty,$$

where  $\Pi$  is an arbitrary system of disjoint intervals  $(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}) \subset [a, b]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

If  $\lambda_k = 1$ ,  $k \in N$ , then  $ABV[a, b] = V[a, b]$ , the Jordan class of functions of bounded variation. Following Waterman, we say that  $f$  is of *harmonic* bounded variation, i.e.,  $f \in HBV[a, b]$ , if  $\lambda_k = k$ ,  $k \in N$ .

DEFINITION 3 (Waterman [19]). Let  $\Lambda(\ell) = (\lambda_{k+\ell})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\ell \in N$ , where the sequence  $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies the condition of Definition 2. A function  $f \in ABV[a, b]$  is said to be continuous in  $\Lambda$ -variation, i.e.,  $f \in \Lambda_C BV[a, b]$ , if  $v_{\Lambda(\ell)}(f, [a, b]) = o(1)$  as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ .

DEFINITION 4 (Čanturija [9]). Let  $f$  be a bounded function on  $[a, b]$ . The modulus of variation of  $f$  is called the function  $v(f, n, [a, b])$  defined for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  as follows:  $v(f, 0, [a, b]) = 0$ , while for  $n \geq 1$

$$v(f, n, [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(x_{2k}) - f(x_{2k-1})|,$$

where  $\Pi_n$  is an arbitrary system of  $n$  disjoint subintervals  $(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , of the segment  $[a, b]$ .

If  $v(n)$ ,  $n \in N$ , is a non-decreasing convex function and  $v(0) = 0$ , then we call  $v(n)$  the modulus of variation.

The class of functions which satisfy the relation  $v(f, n, [a, b]) = O(v(n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  will be denoted by  $V[v][a, b]$ .

In particular,  $V[1][a, b] = V[a, b]$ .

If there is no ambiguity, we will usually omit the dependence on the domain and simply refer to one of the introduced classes of functions or the quantities as  $C$ ,  $V_{\Lambda}$ ,  $\dots$ , or  $v_{\Lambda}(f)$ ,  $v(f, n)$ , etc.

2. The well-known result [17, Theorem 9.1.2, p. 246] about equiconvergence indicates that uniform convergence conditions of Fourier-Jacobi series strictly inside of the orthogonality segment, i.e., on an arbitrary segment  $[a, b] \subset (-1, 1)$ , should be similar to uniform convergence conditions of Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system. For example, the condition  $f \in DL$  guarantees the uniform convergence of Fourier-Jacobi series of the function  $f$  on  $[a, b] \subset (-1, 1)$  (cf. [16, Theorem 4.7, pp. 146, 300]). Let us recall that  $f \in DL$  is also a sufficient condition for the uniform convergence of a  $2\pi$ -periodic function's Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system.

It is also known that  $DL \subset U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  when  $-1 < \alpha \leq -1/2$  and  $-1 < \beta \leq -1/2$  [4, Theorem 1, p. 947]. The same theorem implies that for  $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$  and  $-1 < \beta < -1/2$  only continuity of a function  $f$  guarantees that  $f \in EP^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

On the other hand, for the uniform convergence of Fourier–Jacobi series on the whole segment of orthogonality far stronger conditions must be imposed on a function (cf. [1, 4, 12]).

**THEOREM** (Agakhanov and Natanson [1]). *Let  $\alpha > -1/2$  and  $\beta > -1/2$ . If the modulus of continuity of a function  $f$  satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) n^{\max(\alpha, \beta) + 1/2} = 0, \quad (3)$$

then  $f \in U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

Let us mention, that condition (3) is necessary for the convergence of the Fourier–Jacobi series at the end points of the segment  $[-1, 1]$  as well.

Summarizing all the above the following hypothesis arises: Let the Fourier–Jacobi series of a continuous function  $f$  be convergent at the end points of the segment  $[-1, 1]$ . In addition, let the function satisfy a condition implying the uniform convergence of its Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system. (It is a far less restrictive condition than a condition guaranteeing the uniform convergence of its Fourier–Jacobi series on the whole segment  $[-1, 1]$ .) Do these conditions guarantee the uniform convergence of the Fourier–Jacobi series of the function  $f$  on the whole segment  $[-1, 1]$ ?

The first paper dealing with this problem is due to Zorshchikov.

**THEOREM** (Zorshchikov [22]). *Let a function  $f$  be representable in the form  $f(x) = (1 - x^2)h(x)$  and  $h \in DL$ . If  $f \in EP^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  for some  $-1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $-1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1/2$ , then  $f \in U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .*

Belen’kii has completely solved the problem in terms of the modulus of continuity.

**THEOREM** (Belen’kii [8, Theorem, p. 901]). *Let  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\beta > -1$ . Then the inclusion*

$$DL \cap EP^{(\alpha, \beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha, \beta)}$$

is valid.

In the present paper, we study those conditions on the variation of a continuous function which guarantee the uniform convergence of its Fourier–Jacobi series under an assumption that the series is convergent at the end points of the segment of orthogonality  $[-1, 1]$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In what follows, we always assume that indices  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\beta > -1$  of a weight function  $\rho^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  are arbitrary but fixed. In addition, sometimes we abbreviate notations for the intersection of two sets. For example, we write  $CV[v]$  instead of  $C \cap V[v]$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H^\omega$  and  $V[v]$  be classes of functions defined by a modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  and a modulus of variation  $v(n)$ , respectively. Then the inclusion*

$$H^\omega V[v] \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

*is valid if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq n} \left\{ \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right\} = 0. \quad (4)$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let*

$$\omega(\delta) = \frac{(\ln \ln 1/\delta)^\gamma}{\ln 1/\delta}, \quad \gamma \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(n) = \frac{n}{\ln n \ln \ln n}. \quad (5)$$

*Then  $H^\omega V[v] \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $V[v]$  be a class of functions defined by a given modulus of variation  $v(n)$ . Then the inclusion*

$$CV[v] \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

*is valid if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} < \infty. \quad (6)$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $ABV$  be a class of functions defined by a given sequence  $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . Then the inclusion*

$$CABV \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

*is valid if and only if*

$$ABV \subset HBV. \quad (7)$$

*In particular,  $CV \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .*

Let us clarify the significance of condition (4) and outline the central idea of the proofs.

Condition (4) combines conditions imposed on the modulus of continuity and the variation of a function. As a result (see Corollary 1) it implies convergence conditions which are less restrictive than conditions imposed only on the modulus of continuity or the variation of a function, thus the convergence is obtained for wider classes of functions.

The techniques used to obtain a Fourier series convergence condition in terms of the modulus of continuity are typically based on the following inequality (or some variation of it) [16, p. 35]:

$$|f(x) - S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)| \leq (1 + L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)) E_n(f) \quad (8)$$

for  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , where  $E_n(f) = \inf_{P_n} \|f - P_n\|$  is the best polynomial approximation for  $f$  of degree less than  $n$  in the uniform metric and  $L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 |K^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t)| \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt$  is the  $n$ th Lebesgue constant of the Fourier–Jacobi series.

By Jackson's inequality,  $E_n(f) \leq 11\omega(f, 1/n)$  [16, p. 391]. Thus, by virtue of condition (8),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\omega(f, 1/n) = 0$  guarantees the convergence of Fourier–Jacobi series at the given point  $x$ . Hence, given an accurate estimate for the  $n$ th Lebesgue constant (cf. [4]), convergence conditions of Fourier–Jacobi series in term of the modulus of continuity will instantly follow.

However, in order to obtain a convergence condition in terms of the variation of a function, much more delicate estimate of the Lebesgue constant is needed. Namely, an estimate for  $\int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} |K^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t)| \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt$ ,  $|\int_{-1}^{x_k} K^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt|$ , and  $|\int_{x_k}^1 K^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt|$ , where  $x_k \asymp \cos(k/n)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

This is an outline of the proof: In Lemma 1 we obtain estimates for the Lebesgue constant over a subinterval with the desired length. Next, in Lemma 2 we estimate the tail of the Fourier–Jacobi series of a given function in terms of the functions oscillation over the system of non-overlapping intervals. Then the actual proofs of the theorems follow the well-known schemes.

*Remark.* Let us also mention that the conditions imposed on a function in Theorems 1 and 2 and Corollary 2 are necessary and sufficient for the uniform convergence of its Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system. Regarding conditions (4) and (7) see [10, Theorem 1, p. 476; 18, Theorem 2, p. 112]. Theorems 1 and 2 as a corollary imply Theorem B as well as necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of  $\Phi$ -variation [21] and Banach indicatrix [7] (see [10, Corollaries 2, 3, p. 478; 11, 13, Theorem 1, p. 620; 15]).

## 3. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows, we always assume that the integers  $r$  and  $m$  are determined by the following conditions:  $\alpha \in (r - 3/2, r - 1/2]$  and  $\beta \in (m - 3/2, m - 1/2]$ .  $n \in N$  assumed to be sufficiently large.

In addition,  $g(\tau) \equiv f(\cos \tau)$  for  $\tau \in [0, \pi]$ , where  $g$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic even function.  $\theta = \arccos x$  and  $\tau = \arccos t$  for  $x, t \in [-1, 1]$ .

Let us mention that the function  $g$  belongs to the same class of a generalized variation whatever class the function  $f$  belongs to and  $\omega(\delta, g) \leq \omega(\delta, f)$  for  $\delta \geq 0$ .

We will use the well-known estimates:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\gamma = O(n^{\gamma+1}) \quad \text{for } \gamma > -1 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\gamma} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\gamma-1}}\right) \quad \text{for } \gamma > 1. \quad (10)$$

The following formulas and lemmas are necessary in what follows:

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(-x), \quad (11)$$

$$|P_{n-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| < c(\alpha, \beta) \left( (1-x)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\alpha-1/2} \left( (1+x)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-\beta-1/2} \quad (12)$$

holds for  $x \in [-1, 1]$  and  $n \in N$ .

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) = \kappa(\alpha, \beta, \tau) [\cos(\tilde{n}\tau + \tilde{\gamma}) + O(1)(n \sin \tau)^{-1}], \quad (13)$$

where  $\kappa(\alpha, \beta, \tau) = 2^{-(\alpha+\beta)/2} \pi^{-1/2} \sin^{-\alpha-1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{-\beta-1/2}(\tau/2)$ ,  $\tilde{n} = n + (\alpha + \beta + 1)/2$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = -(2\alpha + 1)\pi/4$ , and  $c/n \leq \tau \leq \pi - c/n$ :

$$(x-t)K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) = v_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_{n+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) - P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)P_{n+1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)) \quad (14)$$

and

$$(x-t)K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, t) = \mu_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}((1-t)P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(t)P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) - (1-x)P_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(x)P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)), \quad (15)$$

where  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} < \infty$  and  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} < \infty$ .

Regarding (11)– (15) see [17, formula (4.1.3), p. 59], [17, Theorem 7.32.2, p. 169], [17, Theorem 8.21.13, p. 197], [17, formula (4.5.2), p. 71], and [8, p. 903], respectively.

In addition, let us introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 &\equiv K_1(\alpha, \beta, n, \theta, \tau) \\
 &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+r+m+2} \mu_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)} \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta) \\
 &\frac{P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r+1, \beta+m)}(\cos \tau)}{\cos \theta - \cos \tau} \sin^{2\alpha+3}(\tau/2) \cos^{2\beta+1}(\tau/2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_2 &\equiv K_2(\alpha, \beta, n, \theta, \tau) \\
 &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+r+m+2} \mu_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)} \sin^{2r+2}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r+1, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta) \\
 &\frac{P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \tau)}{\cos \theta - \cos \tau} \sin^{2\alpha+1}(\tau/2) \cos^{2\beta+1}(\tau/2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

It is trivial to check that (see (15))

$$(1 - \cos \theta)^r (1 + \cos \theta)^m K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta, \cos \tau) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) \sin \tau = K_1 - K_2. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, let

$$t_n^i(k) \equiv \frac{\pi k - \gamma_i}{n_i}, \tag{19}$$

where  $n_1 = n - r - m + (\alpha + r + \beta + m + 2)/2$ ,  $\gamma_1 = -(2\alpha + 2r + 3)\pi/4$ ,  $n_2 = n - r - m + (\alpha + r + \beta + m + 1)/2$ , and  $\gamma_2 = -(2\alpha + 2r + 1)\pi/4$ , respectively. In addition,  $t_n^i(0) \equiv 0$  and  $t_n^i(\bar{n}) \equiv \pi/2$ , where  $\bar{n} = \min(\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2)$  and  $\bar{n}_i$  is the largest integer satisfying the condition  $t_n^i(\bar{n}_i) \leq \pi/2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

It is obvious that

$$t_n^i(k) \asymp \frac{k}{n} \tag{20}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Let us set

$$d_k^i \equiv d_{k,n}^i(\theta) \equiv \int_{t_n^i(k-1)}^{t_n^i(k)} |K_i| d\tau, \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta_k^i \equiv \Delta_{k,n}^i(\theta) \equiv \left| \int_{t_n^i(k)}^{\pi/2} K_i d\tau \right|, \tag{22}$$



and

$$\nabla_k^i \equiv \nabla_{k,n}^i(\theta) \equiv \left| \int_{t_n^i([p/2])}^{t_n^i(k)} K_i d\tau \right| \quad (23)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, n \in N, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $p \in N$  is defined by condition (24). (Here and elsewhere  $[a]$  means the integer part of a number  $a$ .)

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$\theta \in [0, \pi/3] \cap [t_n^1(p-1), t_n^1(p)] \quad (24)$$

for some  $p \in N$ . Then the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} d_k^i &= \frac{O(1)}{|p-k|}, & k = [p/2], [p/2] + 1, \dots, \bar{n}, & \quad k \neq p-1, p, p+1, \\ \Delta_k^i &= \frac{O(1)}{k-p}, & k = p+2, \dots, \bar{n}, \\ \nabla_k^i &= \frac{O(1)}{p-k}, & k = [p/2], [p/2] + 1, \dots, p-2, \end{aligned}$$

hold for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Let us mention that by (20) and (24), for  $k = [p/2], [p/2] + 1, \dots, \bar{n}$ , we have

$$\int_{t_n^i(k-1)}^{t_n^i(k)} \frac{\sin^\alpha(\tau/2)\cos^\beta(\tau/2)}{|\cos\theta - \cos\tau|} d\tau = O(1) \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^\alpha \frac{n^2}{|p^2 - k^2|}, \quad k \neq p-1, p, p+1, \quad (25)$$

$$\sin^\alpha(\theta/2) = O(1) \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \cos^\beta(\theta/2) = O(1). \quad (26)$$

Next, let  $k \neq p-1, p, p+1$  and  $k \leq \bar{n}$ . Then by virtue of (12), (16), (21), (25), and (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_k^1 &= \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} |K_1| d\tau \\ &= O(1) \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) \sin^{-\alpha-r-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{-\beta-m-1/2}(\theta/2) \\ &\quad \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} \frac{\sin^{-\alpha-r-3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{-\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{|\cos\theta - \cos\tau|} \sin^{2\alpha+3}(\tau/2) \cos^{2\beta+1}(\tau/2) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{r-\alpha-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-r+3/2} \frac{n^2}{|p^2 - k^2|} \\
&= O(1) \frac{k^{\alpha-r+3/2}}{p^{\alpha-r+1/2}|p^2 - k^2|} = O(1) \frac{k^{\alpha-r+3/2}}{p^{\alpha-r+1/2}(p+k)|p-k|} = \frac{O(1)}{|p-k|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $k^{\alpha-r+3/2}p^{-\alpha+r-1/2}/(p+k) \leq \min((k/p)^{\alpha-r+3/2}, (p/k)^{-\alpha+r-1/2})$ . However,  $\alpha - r + 3/2 > 0$  and  $-\alpha + r - 1/2 \geq 0$ . So the first or the second expression in “min” will be bounded by 1, depending whether  $k < p$  or  $p < k$ , respectively.

We use asymptotic formula (13) in order to estimate  $\Delta_k^1$ . By virtue of (12), (16), and (22) for  $k = p + 2, \dots, \bar{n}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_k^1 &= \left| \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} K_1 d\tau \right| \\
&= O(1) \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) \sin^{-\alpha-r-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{-\beta-m-1/2}(\theta/2) \\
&\quad \left\{ \left| \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} \cos(n_1\tau + \gamma_1) \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos\theta - \cos\tau} d\tau \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos\theta - \cos\tau} d\tau \right\} \\
&\equiv J_1 + J_2. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, let us mention that the function  $y(\tau) = \cos(n_1\tau + \gamma_1)$  has opposite and constant sign on neighbor segments  $[t_n^1(k-1), t_n^1(k)]$ ,  $k \in N$  (see (19)), and the function  $y(\tau) = \sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2) / (\cos\theta - \cos\tau)$  is decreasing on the segment  $[t_n^1(p+2), \pi/2]$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} \cos(n_1\tau + \gamma_1) \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos\theta - \cos\tau} d\tau \right| \\
&\leq \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{t_n^1(k+1)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos\theta - \cos\tau} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, taking into account (25)–(28), we obtain

$$J_1 \leq O(1) \sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{t_n^1(k+1)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \theta - \cos \tau} d\tau = \frac{O(1)}{k-p}. \quad (29)$$

As regards  $J_2$ , by virtue of (10), (25)–(27), and since  $\alpha - r - 3/2 \leq -2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= O(1) \sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \\ &\quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=k}^{\bar{n}-1} \int_{t_n^1(s)}^{t_n^1(s+1)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \theta - \cos \tau} d\tau \\ &= O(1) \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{r-\alpha-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=k}^{\bar{n}-1} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{s}{n}\right)^{\alpha-r+1/2} \frac{n^2}{s^2 - p^2} \\ &= O(1) \frac{1}{p^{x-r+1/2}} \sum_{s=k}^{\bar{n}-1} \frac{s^{x-r+1/2}}{s^2 - p^2} = O(1) \frac{1}{p^{x-r+1/2}} \sum_{s=k}^{\bar{n}-1} \frac{s^2}{s^2 - p^2} s^{\alpha-r-3/2} \\ &< O(1) \frac{1}{p^{x-r+1/2}} \frac{k^2}{k^2 - p^2} \sum_{s=k}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-r-3/2} = O(1) \frac{1}{p^{x-r+1/2}} \frac{k^2}{k^2 - p^2} k^{\alpha-r-1/2} \\ &= O(1) \frac{k^{\alpha-r+3/2}}{p^{x-r+1/2}(k^2 - p^2)} = \frac{O(1)}{k-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Combination of (29) and (30) leads to the desired estimate. Analogously, we estimate  $\nabla_k^1$ . (See (13), (16), and (23).)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k^1 &= \left| \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(k)} K_1 d\tau \right| \\ &= O(1) \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta) \\ &\quad \left\{ \left| \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(k)} \cos(n_1 \tau + \gamma_1) \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(k)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{|\cos \tau - \cos \theta|} d\tau \Big\} \\
& \equiv J^1 + J^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Again, the function  $y(\tau) = \cos(n_1\tau + \gamma_1)$  has opposite and constant sign on neighbor segments  $[t_n^1(k-1), t_n^1(k)]$ ,  $k \in N$ , (see (19)) and the function  $y(\tau) = \sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2) / (\cos \tau - \cos \theta)$  is increasing on the segment  $[0, t_n^1(p-2)]$ . Hence by virtue of (12) and (25)–(27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J^1 & \leq O(1) \sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau \\
& = O(1) \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{r-\alpha-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-r+3/2} \frac{n^2}{p^2 - k^2} = O(1) \frac{k^{\alpha-r+3/2}}{p^{\alpha-k+1/2}(p^2 - k^2)} = \frac{O(1)}{p - k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Similarly, due to (9), (12), (25)–(27), and since  $\alpha - r + 1/2 > -1$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^2 & = O(1) \sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \\
& \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=[p/2]}^{k-1} \int_{t_n^1(s)}^{t_n^1(s+1)} \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau \\
& < O(1) \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)^{r-\alpha-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{s}{n}\right)^{\alpha-r+1/2} \frac{n^2}{p^2 - s^2} \\
& = O(1) \frac{1}{p^{\alpha-r+1/2}} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \frac{s^{\alpha-r+1/2}}{p^2 - s^2} < O(1) \frac{1}{p^{\alpha-r+1/2}} \frac{1}{p^2 - k^2} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} s^{\alpha-r+1/2} \\
& = O(1) \frac{k^{\alpha-r+3/2}}{p^{\alpha-r+1/2}(p^2 - k^2)} = \frac{O(1)}{p - k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Finally, combination of (31)–(33) completes estimation for  $\nabla_k^1$ . Estimates for  $d_k^2$ ,  $\Delta_k^2$ , and  $\nabla_k^2$  are obtained analogously.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let the segments  $I_k^i \equiv I_{k,n}^i(f) \equiv [\tau_n^i(k), t_n^i(k)]$  ( $f \in C$ ,  $i = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $n \in N$ ) be determined by the conditions*

$$|g(I_{k,n}^i)| \equiv |g(\tau_n^i(k)) - g(t_n^i(k))| = \max_{\tau \in [t_n^i(k-1), t_n^i(k)]} |g(\tau) - g(t_n^i(k))|. \tag{34}$$

Then for every  $f \in C$  the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1-x)^r(1+x)^m \int_{-1}^1 (f(x) - f(t)) K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(x, t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt \right| \\ & < c(\alpha, \beta) \left\{ o(1) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k, n}^i)|}{k} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

holds uniformly with respect to  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ , where  $|g(I_{n_k, n}^i)| \geq |g(I_{n_{k+1}, n}^i)|$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in N$  be determined by condition (24). Next,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1-x)^r(1+x)^m \int_{-1}^1 (f(x) - f(t)) K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(x, t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt \right| \\ & = |(1 - \cos \theta)^r (1 + \cos \theta)^m \\ & \quad \left| \int_0^\pi (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta, \cos \tau) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) \sin \tau d\tau \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^{t_n^1(p/2)} \right| + \left| \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(p-2)} \right| + \left| \int_{t_n^1(p-2)}^{t_n^1(p+1)} \right| + \left| \int_{t_n^1(p+1)}^{\pi/2} \right| + \left| \int_{\pi/2}^\pi \right| \\ & \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Obviously, the terms  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  will be absent if  $p \leq 2$ .

By virtue of the definition of modulus of continuity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 & \leq (1 - \cos \theta)^r (1 + \cos \theta)^m \int_{t_n^1(p-2)}^{t_n^1(p+1)} |g(\tau) - g(\theta)| \\ & \quad |K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta, \cos \tau)| \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) \sin \tau d\tau \\ & \leq \omega(g, |t_n^1(p+1) - t_n^1(p-2)|) (1 - \cos \theta)^r (1 + \cos \theta)^m \\ & \quad \int_{t_n^1(p-2)}^{t_n^1(p+1)} |K_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta, \cos \tau)| \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) \sin \tau d\tau = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Indeed,  $\omega(g, |t_n^1(p+1) - t_n^1(p-2)|) = O(1)\omega(g, 1/n) = o(1)$ . As regards the rest of the expression, it is bounded by a constant, uniformly with respect to  $n \in N$  and  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ , due to formula (2) and estimates (9), (12),

(25), and (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \cos \theta)^r (1 + \cos \theta)^m \int_{t_n^1(p-2)}^{t_n^1(p+1)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-m} P_k^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta) P_k^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \tau) \right| \\
 & \quad \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \tau) \sin \tau \, d\tau \\
 & = O(1) \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \int_{t_n^1(p-2)}^{t_n^1(p+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r-m} \left( \sin(\theta/2) + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-\alpha-r-1/2} \\
 & \quad \left( \sin(\tau/2) + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-\alpha-r-1/2} \sin^{2\alpha+1}(\tau/2) \, d\tau \\
 & = O(1) \left( \frac{p}{n} \right)^{2\alpha+2r+1} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{k: \frac{1}{k} > \frac{p}{n}} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)^{-2\alpha-2r-1} + \sum_{k: \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{p}{n}} \left( \frac{p}{n} \right)^{-2\alpha-2r-1} \right\} \\
 & = O(1) \left( \frac{p}{n} \right)^{2\alpha+2r+1} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{n}{p} \right)^{2\alpha+2r+2} + n \left( \frac{p}{n} \right)^{-2\alpha-2r-1} \right\} = O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (12), (14), Lemma 5 [8, p. 904], and due to  $|x - t| \geq 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_5 & \leq O(1) \left( (1-x)^r (1+x)^m |P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(x)| \left| \int_{-1}^0 \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{x-t} P_{n+1-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \, dt \right| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (1-x)^r (1+x)^m |P_{n+1-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(x)| \left| \int_{-1}^0 \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{x-t} P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(t) \rho^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) \, dt \right| \right) \\
 & = o(1) \tag{38}
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ .

By virtue of (16)–(18) we have

$$J_1 \leq \left| \int_0^{t_n^1(p/2)} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_1 \, d\tau \right| + \left| \int_0^{t_n^1(p/2)} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_2 \, d\tau \right| \equiv J_{1,1} + J_{1,2}. \tag{39}$$

Furthermore, by (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,1} &= \left| \int_0^{t_n^1([p/2])} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_1 d\tau \right| \\
 &= O(1) \sin^{2r}(\theta/2) \cos^{2m}(\theta/2) P_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r, \beta+m)}(\cos \theta) \\
 &\quad \left\{ \left| \int_{t_n^1(1)}^{t_n^1([p/2])} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) \cos(n_1\tau + \gamma_1) \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_{t_n^1(1)}^{t_n^1([p/2])} |g(\tau) - g(\theta)| \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{|\cos \tau - \cos \theta|} d\tau \right\} \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_0^{t_n^1(1)} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_1 d\tau \right| \\
 &\equiv J_{1,1}^1 + J_{1,1}^2 + J_{1,1}^3. \tag{40}
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously (24)–(26),  $\tau \in [0, \theta/2]$ , and  $t_n^1([p/2]) = O(1)\theta/2$  imply  $|\cos \theta - \cos \tau| = O(1)\theta^2$  and  $|g(\tau) - g(\theta)| \leq \omega(g, \theta)$ .

Consequently, by (12)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,1}^1 &\leq O(1) \sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2) \cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \int_{t_n^1(1)}^{t_n^1([p/2])} |g(\tau) - g(\theta)| \\
 &\quad \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+3/2}(\tau/2) \cos^{\beta-m+1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau = \theta^{r-\alpha-1/2} \omega(g, \theta) \theta^{-2} \theta^{\alpha-r+3/2} \\
 &= O(1) \omega(g, \theta). \tag{41}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for every fixed  $\theta \in (0, \pi/3]$ , the integral part of  $J_{1,1}^1$  converges to zero by Lebesgue Convergence Theorem. Moreover, the convergence is uniform for  $\theta \in [\delta, \pi/3]$ , where  $0 < \delta < \pi/3$  is fixed.

Now let us show that  $J_{1,1}^1 = o(1)$  uniformly with respect to  $\theta \in [0, \pi/3]$ . Indeed, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed number. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\omega(g, \theta) \leq \varepsilon$  as soon as  $\theta \in [0, \delta]$ . Now let us take  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  so large that  $J_{1,1}^1 < \varepsilon$  as soon as  $n > n(\varepsilon)$  for any  $\theta \in [\delta, \pi/3]$ . The rest follows from (41).

Due to (12)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,1}^2 &= O(1)\sin^{r-\alpha-1/2}(\theta/2)\cos^{m-\beta-1/2}(\theta/2) \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{n} \int_{t_n^1(1)}^{t_n^1(p/2)} |g(\tau)| \frac{\sin^{\alpha-r+1/2}(\tau/2)\cos^{\beta-m-1/2}(\tau/2)}{\cos \tau - \cos \theta} d\tau \\
 &= O(1)\theta^{r-\alpha-1/2} \frac{1}{n} \theta \omega(g, \theta) \theta^{-2} \theta^{\alpha-r+1/2} = O(1) \frac{1}{n\theta} \omega(g, \theta) = o(1) \quad (42)
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to  $\theta \in [t_n^1(1), \pi/3]$  by the similar argumentation presented above.  $J_{1,1}^3$  is estimated analogously.

Now let us estimate expressions for  $J_2$  and  $J_4$ . Taking into account (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 + J_4 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ \left| \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(p-2)} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_i d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{t_n^1(p+1)}^{\pi/2} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_i d\tau \right| \right\} \\
 &\equiv J_{2,1} + J_{2,2} + J_{4,1} + J_{4,2}. \quad (43)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Abel's transformation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{2,1} &= \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(p-2)} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_1 d\tau \\
 &= \sum_{k=[p/2]+1}^{p-2} \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} [g(\tau) - g(t_n^1(k))] K_1 d\tau \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=[p/2]+1}^{p-2} (g(t_n^1(k)) - g(\theta)) \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} K_1 d\tau \\
 &= \sum_{k=[p/2]+1}^{p-2} \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} [g(\tau) - g(t_n^1(k))] K_1 d\tau \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=[p/2]+1}^{p-3} [g(t_n^1(k)) - g(t_n^1(k+1))] \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(k)} K_1 d\tau \\
 &\quad + (g(t_n^1(p-2)) - g(\theta)) \int_{t_n^1(p/2)}^{t_n^1(p-2)} K_1 d\tau. \quad (44)
 \end{aligned}$$



Taking into account Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{2,1} &\leq \sum_{k=\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}^{p-2} \max_{\tau \in [t_n^1(k-1), t_n^1(k)]} |g(\tau) - g(t_n^1(k))| \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} |K_1| d\tau \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}^{p-3} |g(t_n^1(k)) - g(t_n^1(k+1))| \left| \int_{t_n^1(\lfloor p/2 \rfloor)}^{t_n^1(k)} K_1 d\tau \right| \\
 &\quad + |g(t_n^1(p-2)) - g(\theta)| \left| \int_{t_n^1(\lfloor p/2 \rfloor)}^{t_n^1(p-2)} K_1 d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}^{p-2} |g(I_{k,n}^1)| d_{k,n}^1 + \sum_{k=\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}^{p-2} |g(t_n^1(k)) - g(t_n^1(k+1))| \nabla_{k,n}^1 \\
 &\quad + O(1) \omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) \nabla_{p-2,n}^1 \\
 &\leq O(1) \left\{ \omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} |g(I_{k,n}^1)| \frac{1}{p-k} \right\} \leq O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^1)|}{k} \right\}. \quad (45)
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{4,1} &= \int_{t_n^1(p+1)}^{\pi/2} (g(\tau) - g(\theta)) K_1 d\tau \\
 &= \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}} \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} [g(\tau) - g(t_n^1(k))] K_1 d\tau + \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}-1} [g(t_n^1(k+1)) - g(t_n^1(k))] \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} K_1 d\tau \\
 &\quad + (g(t_n^1(p+2)) - g(\theta)) \int_{t_n^1(p+1)}^{\pi/2} K_1 d\tau \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}} \max_{\tau \in [t_n^1(k-1), t_n^1(k)]} |g(\tau) - g(t_n^1(k))| \int_{t_n^1(k-1)}^{t_n^1(k)} |K_1| d\tau \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}-1} |g(t_n^1(k+1)) - g(t_n^1(k))| \left| \int_{t_n^1(k)}^{\pi/2} K_1 d\tau \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + |g(t_n^1(p+2)) - g(\theta)| \left| \int_{t_n^1(p+1)}^{\pi/2} K_1 d\tau \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}} |g(I_{k,n}^1)| d_{k,n}^1 + \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}-1} |g(I_{k+1,n}^1)| \Delta_{k,n}^1 + O(1)\omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) \Delta_{p+2,n}^1 \\
 & = O(1) \left\{ \omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{k=p+2}^{\bar{n}} \frac{|g(I_{k,n}^1)|}{k-p} \right\} \leq O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^1)|}{k} \right\}. \quad (46)
 \end{aligned}$$

$J_{2,2}$  and  $J_{4,2}$  are estimated analogously, so we omit the details. Combination of (36)–(46) completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 3 (Sablin [14, Theorem 1, p. 88]). *Let a sequence  $\Lambda = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ , satisfying the conditions of Definition 2, be such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k / \lambda_{2k}$  exists. Then  $\Lambda BV = \Lambda_C BV$  if and only if this limit is less than one.*

### 4. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 1. Sufficiency:* We assume that  $x \in [0, 1]$  since the case  $x \in [-1, 0]$  is reduced to the previous one via identity (11).

Let  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |S_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x) - f(x)| & \leq |S_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x) - S_n^{(-1/2,-1/2)}(f, x)| \\
 & \quad + |S_n^{(-1/2,-1/2)}(f, x) - f(x)| \\
 & = |S_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x) - S_n(g, \theta)| \\
 & \quad + |S_n(g, \theta) - g(\theta)| \equiv J_1 + J_2. \quad (47)
 \end{aligned}$$

$J_1 = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in [0, 1/2]$  [5, Note, p. 179]. And conditions (4), (6), and (7) (see Remark) instantly imply the uniform convergence of  $J_2 = o(1)$  on the segment  $[0, 1/2]$ .

Now, let  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ . It is known [8, Proof of Theorem, p. 904] that the following representation

$$S_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x) = S_{1,n}(f, x) + o(1) \quad (48)$$

is valid for  $f \in C \cap EP^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ ,  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , and  $n \geq r + m$ , where  $S_{1,n}(f, x) \equiv (1-x)^r(1+x)^m S_{n-r-m}^{(\alpha+r,\beta+m)}(f(x)(1-x)^{-r}(1+x)^{-m}, x)$ .

It is obvious (see (34)) that

$$|g(I_{k,n}^i)| = O(1)\omega\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq O(1)\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (49)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Next, due to (1) and (49), applying Abel's transformation to (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{1,n}(f - f(x), x)| &= O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^i)|}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^i)|}{k} \right\} \\ &= O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k |g(I_{n_j,n}^i)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |g(I_{n_k,n}^i)| \right\}. \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I_{k,n}^i \cap I_{s,n}^i = \emptyset$  for  $k \neq s$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $n \in N$ , it follows from Definition 4 that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |g(I_{n_j,n}^i)| \leq v(g, k) = v(f, k)$$

and hence

$$|S_{1,n}(f - f(x), x)| = O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{v(f, k)}{k^2} + \frac{v(f, n)}{n} \right\}.$$

However,  $v(f, n)/n = O(1)\omega(f, 1/n)$  [9, Theorem 4, p. 68]. Consequently, in view of (4) and (48),  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ , and the arbitrariness of  $\ell \in N$  the proof is completed. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2. Sufficiency:* Again, due to (47) and (48) it suffices to estimate the expression  $|S_{1,n}(f - f(x), x)|$  for  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f \neq \text{const}$ . Now let us set  $\ell = [1/\omega(f, 1/n)]$ . Then according to (50) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{1,n}(f - f(x), x)| &= O(1) \left\{ o(1) + \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^i)|}{k} \right\} \\ &\equiv o(1) + J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $J_1 = O(1)\omega(f, 1/n)\ln[1/\omega(f, 1/n)] = o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking into account that the intervals  $I_{k,n}^i$  are non-overlapping and  $|g(I_{n_k}^i)| \geq |g(I_{n_{k+1}}^i)|$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we can estimate  $J_2$  as follows (see Definition 3):

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^i)|}{k} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{|g(I_{n_{k+\ell},n}^i)|}{k+\ell} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-\ell} \frac{|g(I_{n_k,n}^i)|}{k+\ell} \leq 2v_{H(\ell)}(g) = 2v_{H(\ell)}(f) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ , since the sequence  $\lambda = (k)_{k=1}^\infty$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. ■

*Proof of Theorem B.* Since  $f \in DL$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq n} \left\{ \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right\} &\leq \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \\ &= O(1)\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \ln n = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows from Theorem 1. ■

*Proof of Corollary 1.* Let us set  $\ell = [\exp(\ln n / (\ln \ln n)^{\gamma+1})]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq n} \left\{ \omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right\} \\ \leq O(1) \left( \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\ln n}{(\ln \ln n)^{\gamma+1}} + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k \ln k \ln \ln k} \right) \\ \leq O(1) \left( \frac{1}{\ln \ln n} + \ln \ln \ln n - \ln \frac{\ln \ln n}{(\ln \ln n)^{\gamma+1}} \right) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

It is possible (see [10, p. 483]) to construct an example of a function which satisfies the condition of Corollary 1, but does not satisfy those of Theorem B or Corollary 2. ■

*Proof of Corollary 2. Sufficiency:* If a modulus of variation  $v(n)$  satisfies (6), then  $V[v] \subset HBV$  [3, Theorem 2, p. 232]. Hence, sufficiency of condition (6) immediately follows from Theorem 2.

Now let us show the necessity of conditions (4), (6), and (7). Due to (47)

$$\|S_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, \cdot) - S_n(g, \cdot)\|_{[a, b]} = o(1) \quad (51)$$

for  $[a, b] \subset (-1, 1)$  and every continuous function  $f$ .

However, it is known (see [10, Theorem 4 and Corollary 7, p. 493; 18, Theorem 3, p. 112]) that conditions (4), (6), and (7) are necessary for the convergence of Fourier series, thus by (51) they are also necessary for the convergence of Fourier-Jacobi series. ■

## REFERENCES

1. S. A. Agakhanov and G. I. Natanson, The approximation of functions by the Fourier-Jacobi sums, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **166** (1966), 9–10.
2. V. O. Asatiani and Z. A. Chanturia, The modulus of variation of a function and the Banach indicatrix, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **45** (1983), 51–66.
3. M. Avdispahić, On the classes  $ABV$  and  $V[v]$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 230–234.
4. V. M. Badkov, Estimates of Lebesgue functions and remainders of Fourier-Jacobi series, *Siberian Math. J.* **9** (1968), 947–962.
5. V. M. Badkov, The uniform convergence of Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials, *Mat. Zametki* **5** (1969), 174–179.
6. V. M. Badkov, Approximation of functions in the uniform metric by Fourier sums of orthogonal polynomials, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **145** (1981), 19–65.
7. S. Banach, Sur les lignes rectifiables et les surfaces dont l'aire est finie, *Fund. Math.* **7** (1925), 225–236.
8. A. M. Belen'kii, Uniform convergence of the Fourier-Jacobi series on the orthogonality segment, *Math. Notes* **46** (1989), 901–906.
9. Z. A. Čanturija, The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **15** (1974), 67–71.
10. Z. A. Čanturija, On uniform convergence of Fourier series, *Sb. Math.* **29** (1976), 475–495.
11. A. M. Garsia and S. Sawyer, On some classes of continuous functions with convergent Fourier series, *J. Math. Mech.* **13** (1964), 589–601.
12. G. Kvernadze, Uniform convergence of Fourier-Jacobi series, *Bull. Georgian Acad. of Sci.* **137** (1990), 257–259.
13. K. I. Oskolkov, Generalized variation, the Banach indicatrix, and the uniform convergence of Fourier series, *Math. Notes* **12** (1972), 619–625.
14. A. I. Sablin,  $A$ -variation and Fourier series, *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)* **10** (1987), 87–90.
15. R. Salem, "Essais sur les séries trigonométriques," *Actualités Math.*, Vol. 862, Hermann, Paris, 1940.
16. P. K. Suetin, "Classical Orthogonal Polynomials," 2nd rev. ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
17. G. Szegő, "Orthogonal Polynomials," *Memoirs of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1967.
18. D. Waterman, On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 107–117.
19. D. Waterman, On the summability of Fourier series of  $A$ -bounded variation, *Studia Math.* **55** (1976), 87–95.
20. N. Wiener, The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1924), 72–94.

21. L. C. Young, Sur une généralisation de la notion de variation de puissance  $p$ -ième bornée au sens de M. Wiener, et sur la convergence des séries de Fourier, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **204** (1937), 470–472.
22. A. V. Zorshchikov, On the approximation of functions by Fourier–Jacobi series, *Mat. Zapiski Ural'skogo Univ.* **6** (1967), 32–39.
23. A. Zygmund, “Trigonometrical Series,” 2nd rev. ed., Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1959.